UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/558,389	11/29/2005	Hajime Kondo	Q91406	5714
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/29/201 ON, PLLC	1	EXAM	INER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MULCAHY, PETER D	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/29/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Owners	10/558,389	KONDO, HAJIME			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-31 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 9-31 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5,7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
8) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date $\pm 10/21/10$; $\pm 1/20/10$.

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weydert et al US 2004/0122134.
- 3. The rejection set forth in the paper mailed 12/9/09 is deemed proper and is herein repeated. The declaration and remarks filed in support thereof on 4/8/10 have been fully considered but fail to place the claims in condition for allowance.
- 4. Applicant argues that Weydert (i) does not disclose the grafting ratio of the polar group-containing monomer of 0.01-5% by mass and (ii) does not disclose the rubber latex component in the composition is at least 15% by mass. These arguments have been found not persuasive.
- 5. In addressing (i); the art teaches 1-20% of polar monomer grafted to the natural rubber. Given the significant overlap in the claimed amount and that shown in the art, i.e., 1-5%, one would immediately envisage the claimed amount. The claimed range is anticipated when the ranges disclosed in the reference and claimed by applicant overlap in scope but the reference does not contain a specific example within the claimed range. See the concurring opinion in *Ex parte Lee*, 31 USPQ2d 1105 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993). See MPEP § 2131.03.

Art Unit: 1762

6. With respect to (ii); Weydert teaches 50% of the rubber gel composite having a 10:1 ratio relative to the starch/plasticizer component. This results in compositions having 45% natural rubber gel component. This amount anticipates the claimed amount.

- 7. The declaration shows results based on additional runs comparing varying amounts of grafting monomer and species of monomers. This is not persuasive. The showing of varying amounts shows that different polymers have different properties.

 The amount limitation is seen to be anticipated (see paragraph 5 supra) and as such, the alleged unexpected results relative to the anticipated limitation are not germane to the patentability. Further, while additional species are shown, claim 1 is not limited by species of polar comonomer and the results can not be found persuasive. While claim 8 is limited to generically claimed species of polar group, the showing does not support the scope of the chemically diverse nature of the generically claimed species. There is no reason of record to expect the alleged unexpected results to be imparted by all species falling within the scope of the generically claimed species of claim 8.
- 8. The Westermann et al. US 2002/0170642 is cited of interest. This further shows that the claimed polar monomers can be grafted onto natural rubber. The claimed amount of the polar monomers is shown in [0012]. This document further shows 75% of the gel in rubber compositions, [0007].

Conclusion

9. This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on

Art Unit: 1762

the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1762